



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/941,447 | 08/28/2001 | Patrick B. Halahan | M-9999-ID US | 7110 |

7590 06/05/2002

Michael Shenker
Skjerven Morrill MacPherson LLP
Suite 700
25 Metro Drive
San Jose, CA 95110

EXAMINER

THOMAS, TONIAE M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2822

DATE MAILED: 06/05/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

| | | |
|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| Application No. | 09/941,447 | Applicant(s) |
| Examiner | Art Unit Toniae M. Thomas | HALAHAN ET AL. 2822 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 August 2001.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-12 and 20-22 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-9, 12, 20 and 22 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 10, 11 and 21 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. This action is a first Office action on the merits of Application 09/941,447, which is a divisional of co-pending Application 09/792,311.

The amendment received on 21 August 2001 canceled claims 13-19 and 23-29. Currently, claims 1-12 and 20-22 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. *Claims 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.*

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 USC §112, 2nd par., because the following markush group renders the claim indefinite: semiconductor substrate and/or the first conductive layer and/or the second conductive layer.

Alternative expressions are permitted if they present no uncertainty or ambiguity with respect to the question of scope or clarity of the claims. One acceptable form of alternative expression, which is commonly referred to as a Markush group, recites members as being "selected from the group consisting of A, B and C." See *Ex parte Markush*, 1925 C.D. 126 (Comm'r Pat. 1925) ... the use of Markush claims of diminishing scope should not, in itself, be considered a sufficient basis for objection to or rejection of claims. However, if such a practice renders the claims indefinite or if it results in undue multiplicity, an appropriate rejection should be made (MPEP § 2173.05(h)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. *Claims 1-9, 20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagata et al. (US 5,804,478 B1) in view of Siniaguine et al (US 6,322,903 B1).*

Nagata et al. disclose a method for manufacturing a [integrated] circuit (see figs. 4-13 and accompanying text). The method comprises forming an opening 20 in a first side of a semiconductor substrate 40, with a plurality of conductive layers overlying each other in the opening, the conductive layers including a first conductive layer 63 and a second conductive layer 66 overlying the first conductive layer such that the first and second conductive layers are separated by an insulating layer 65 in the opening.

Nagata further teaches the following claim limitations: forming an insulating layer 54 in the opening prior to forming the first conductive layer (fig. 5), forming a doped region 53 of the substrate occupying at least a portion of the sidewalls (fig. 10).

Nagata et al. lack anticipation only in not teaching the step of removing material from a second side of the substrate to expose the second conductive layer in the opening on the second side of the substrate. Siniaguine et al. disclose a method of forming an integrated circuit, wherein a material is removed from a second side of the substrate to expose a second conductive layer in the opening on the second side of the substrate (fig. 8 and col. 6, lines 13-31). The exposed portion of the second conductive

layer serves as a contact pad (fig. 11). A mechanical method is used to remove the material from the second side, and the second conductive layer is exposed on the second side during the mechanical removal (col. 6, lines 18-23).

To connect the integrated circuit of Nagata et al. to another integrated circuit, one having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify Nagata et al. by removing material from a second side of the substrate to expose the second conductive layer in the opening on the second side of the substrate, as taught by Siniaguine et al.

As discussed above, Siniaguine et al. teach the use of a mechanical method to remove the material from the second side. Siniaguine et al. do not teach that the mechanical method used to remove the material is a chemical mechanical polishing method (CMP). However, because chemical mechanical polishing is a type of mechanical method used to remove material, the use of CMP to remove the material is taken to be obvious over the combination of Nagata et al. and Siniaguine et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 10, 11, and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toniae M. Thomas whose telephone number is (703) 305-7646. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Art Unit: 2822

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead can be reached on (703) 308-4940. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

JMJ

June 3, 2002



CARL WHITEHEAD, JR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800